

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,632	04/06/2001	Aki Yokote	10745/6	1014	
757	7590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			PWU, JEFFREY C		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/827,632	YOKOTE, AKI			
	omce Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Jeffrey C. Pwu	2143			
Period fo	·	pears on the cover sheet wan the c	on espondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/23/04 Amendment.					
2a)⊠	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers		·			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	it(s)					
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/827,632

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al. (U.S. 6,466,964) in view of Swander (U.S. 2004/0049585)

Leung et al. teach the invention substantially as claimed including:

A system and method of implementing Internet protocol security in a mobile IP network, comprising: (col.1, line 6-col.2, line 47)

a cache configured to store security associations established with corresponding nodes; (728)

a communication control that initiates communication to a second node via the mobile IP network; (fig.1; mobile nodes)

a security association locator that searches the cache to see if any security association is established with the second node; (col.9, line 61-col.10, line 24)

a security association control that initiates establishment of a security association for protecting communications with the second node without waiting for a response communication from the second node; (col.9, line 61-col.10, line 24)

Application/Control Number: 09/827,632

**Art Unit: 2143** 

initiating communication by a first node to a second node via the mobile IP network; (fig.1; mobile nodes)

searching by the first node a cache thereof to see if any security association is established with the second node; and (col.6, line 41-col.9, line 34)

initiating by the first node establishment of a security association for protecting communications with the second node without waiting for a response communication from the second node. (col.6, line 41-col.9, line 34)

Leung et al. fail to teach wherein the security association (SA) employs a Kerberos key exchange.

Swander, however, discloses a system for initiating communication between a CN and a MN in which the CN initiates and proposes security associations (SA) to the MN, upon which, the SA is a Kerberos key (Swander - Page 3 paragraph 1002811. Both Leung et al. and Swander are concerned with securely transmitting data between a CN and an MN in a mobile IP network. The use of Kefberos keys were notoriously well known and widely for encrypting data sent between two nodes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of a Kerberos key, as taught by Swander into the invention of Leung in order securely encrypt data between nodes using a very secure and widely known and used encrypting technique.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,632

Art Unit: 2143

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey C. Pwu whose telephone number is 571-272-6798. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/27/05°

JEFFREY PWU PRILLEY EXAMINER